## Second error: Customer Side Leakage data \*\*Attached are various extracts from the First Fix reports etc, for reference \*\*

Leakage from within homes/from the supply pipes under gardens is referred to in the analysis for the Shannon project as "customer side leakage" ("CSL"). In relation to CSL, the analysis for the Shannon project factored in:

- (1) an assumption of the "base level" of customer side leakage i.e. the volume of water that it assumed was being lost through CSL in 2011,
- (2) leakage reduction targets how much water it anticipated would be saved though repairing CSL,
- (3) assumptions of the costs of leakage recovery i.e. how much it would cost to recover 1Mld (one million litres per day) of water through reducing CSL.

The results of the recent "First Fix Free" or "First Fix" scheme have shown that the assumptions that were made in the analysis for the Shannon project in relation to all three of the above were wrong.

### The base year level of CSL cannot have been 40.8Mld – it must have been at least 100Mld

The analysis for the Shannon Project assumed that the volume of water being lost through CSL in 2011 (the base year of the analysis) was only **40.8Mld** (see attached) but the First Fix results show that **this** is *impossible*.

The First Fix scheme was introduced in late 2015 to tackle Dublin's high levels of CSL. The scheme uses water meters to identify very large leaks: a "constant flow alarm" is triggered by a constant flow of at least 6 litres of water per hour over a 48-hour period which flags that there is a major leak at that property. Irish Water writes to the property owner offering a free leak investigation which can result in an offer of a free leak repair.

The First Fix results provide the most up to date information about CSL. They show that the First Fix scheme has been far more successful at recovering water, for far less money, than was anticipated. It has cost well under half the budget to recover almost three times as much water as was expected (see attached for details). This is notwithstanding the fact that the response to the First Fix scheme has been lower than was expected: as at the Q3 2016 results, the First Fix scheme had identified 34,510 major leaks in the Dublin water supply area. However, due partly to low customer interaction with the scheme, less than 40% of those leaks had actually been repaired (in fact, only 8% of them had been repaired by Irish Water – the remaining 31% had been repaired by customers).

Despite the fact that only 40% of the leaks have been repaired, the volume of water that has *already* been recovered in the Dublin water supply area through First Fix is **over 38Mld**. It is therefore *impossible* that the "base level" of CSL was only **40.8Mld**, as was assumed in the analysis for the Shannon project.

Provided that the First Fix scheme continues (whether on its existing terms, under which the customer receives no incentive to repair leaks falling within the home, or whether it shifts to a "subsidy" model as is used in the UK and as contemplated in Irish Water's 01/04/15 submission to the CER) then, taking into account the trajectory of the issuance of "constant flow alarm" letters and the trajectory of the volume of water recovered per leak repaired, the scheme has the potential to recover upwards of 65Mld in the Dublin water supply area. Once (i) water being lost through the hundreds of thousands of *smaller* leaks (i.e. leaks that are not large enough to trigger a "constant flow alarm") is also factored in, together with (ii) water being lost through leaks in homes without an operational water meter, and (iii) taking account of Irish Water's assumption that the base-year volume of CSL was *more than double* the volume of water that would be recovered through leakage repairs over 35-years, it is almost certain that the base year level of CSL was at least 100Mld.

This has significant implications: it means that in meeting its own current 2050 CSL target of 29.6Mld, Irish Water will recover an additional 59.2Mld of water over and above that assumed in its analysis. This has a significant impact on the bottom line and the question of whether there is a "need" for the Shannon project.

### The First Fix results also show that:

(1) The leakage targets contained in the analysis for the Shannon project were unambitious

The analysis projected that CSL would be reduced by a total of 11Mld over 39 years. The First Fix scheme alone recovered at least 38Mld in its first 18 months of operation. The 39-year leakage target set out in the analysis for the Shannon project was achieved more than three times over in just 18 months.

### (2) The costs assumed for leakage recovery in the analysis for the Shannon project were too high

The analysis for the Shannon project assumed that recovering 1Mld of water through repairing customer side leaks would cost "in the order of EURO.75million" per 1Mld. On the contrary: recovering 1Mld of water through the First Fix scheme has cost an average of only EUR212,000 – this is 1/3 of the amount that the analysis for the Shannon project assumed.

It has been suggested that the costs of leakage recovery through First Fix are not an appropriate reference point because large leaks have been prioritised. In response: the prioritisation will have had only a limited impact given that, at Q3 2016, Irish Water itself had only repaired 8% of the leaks that the scheme had identified (most had been repaired by customers). The scheme has already recovered far more water than was thought possible – and that entire recovery has been effected at this fractional cost.

It can also be observed that the cost of recovering a unit of water through fixing leaks under the First Fix scheme has actually *decreased* each quarter: as at the **Q1 2016** report it had cost an average of **EUR252,000** to recover 1Mld; this cost *decreased every quarter* and by **Q1 2017** it had cost an average of just **EUR212,000** to recover 1Mld.

## (3) The volumes of water recoverable through fixing leaks are the equivalent to large "new" water "sources" The table below shows the volumes of water that were recovered for the Greater Dublin Water Supply Area ("GDWSA") in each quarter (i.e. in every three-month window) of the operation of First Fix.

Period	Mld recovered in GDWSA in period <sup>1</sup>	Mld recovered in GDWSA (cumulative)
Q2 2015 (using cumulative data as at Q1 2016 report)	5.5	5.5
Q3 2015 (using Q2 2016 report data)	6.9	12.4
Q4 2015 (using Q3 2016 report data)	6.7	19.1
Q1 2016 (using Q4 2016 report data)	5.4	24.5
Q2 2016 (using Q1 2017 report data)	5.2	29.7
Q3 2016 (using Q1 2017 report data)	4.1	33.8
Q4 2016 (using Q1 2017 report data) Data incomplete – the volume of recovered water will increase once customer repairs are fully accounted for.	Data incomplete	36.6 (will increase)
Q1 2017 (using Q1 2017 report data) Data incomplete – as above	Data incomplete	37.6(will increase)

Note: the Q1 2017 report was published in October 2017. The scheme was put on a "hiatus" while the First Fix scheme becomes part of the wider "Water Network Programme". No "constant flow alarm" notifications had been issued for a period, including Q1 2017.

The volumes of water that the First Fix scheme has recovered are very significant. To put them into context, the Bog of the Ring wellfield produces **2.5-3Mld** of water every day. In *each quarter* since the First Fix scheme began, the amount of water that has been recovered through repairing CSL has been approximately **DOUBLE** the production of the entire Bog of the Ring wellfield. The water savings that the First Fix scheme is generating are equivalent to adding two brand new, additional Bog of the Ring wellfields to Dublin's water supply every quarter.

As per Irish Water's published guidance, these figures assume that 80% of water recovered in the "East and Midlands" region fall within the Dublin water supply area – see attached.

The analysis assumed that the 2011 level of CSL was only 40.8MId - the First fix results show that this was WRONG and that the correct level must IACOBS = TOBIN almost certainly have been AT LEAST 100 MId 12

The analysis set a target to reduce CSL by 11.2 Mid in 39 years the First Fix scheme has already saved 38 Mid in just 15 months

Scen	Scenario 2 - 'Most Likely Growth'									
Com	Component	Element	Units	2011	2021	2026	2031	2041	2046	2050
		Population	ž	1,516,133	1,642,391	1,742,226	1,842,060	2,003,156	2,081,225	2,154,252
	Domestic Demand	PCC	p/p4//	125.50	120.40	120.60	120.70	120.90	121.00	121.00
		Domestic Demand	M/d	190.3	197.7	210.1	222.3	242.2	251.8	260.7
(M:		Occupancy Rate	Ä	2.64	2.48	2.40	2.32	2.16	2.08	2.00
∃A) 1		Nr of Households	ž	618,460	728,480	798,520	873,391	1,020,126	1,100,648	1,184,839
Vate	Household (Customer Side) Losses	CSL rate	l/prop/d	99	40	35	25	25	25	25
V 10Î		CSL	M/d	40.8	29.1	27.9	21.8	25.5	27.5	29.6
nfeq		Non-Domestic Demand	M/d	126.5	138.3	146.2	154.8	168.7	175.3	181.1
NoooA	Non-Domestic Demand	Strategic Allowance for Major Water Using Industry	P/IM	0	34	50	75	100	100	100
		Operation Use Factor	%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%
	Operational Use	Operational Use Allowance	MI/d	3.6	3.7	3.8	4.0	4.4	4.5	4.7
	Accounted for Water (AFW)		P/IM	361.2	402.8	438.1	478.0	540.7	559.2	576.1
		UFW	MI/d	178.1	164.8	146.0	140.8	130.0	130.0	130.0
Unac	Unaccounted for Water (UFW) /	as % of Average Demand	%	33.0%	29.0%	25.0%	22.8%	19.4%	18.9%	18.4%
	ומקומן בספפפ	cubic metres per km per day	m³/km/d	19.42	17.97	15.92	15.35	14.18	14.18	14.18
Aver	Average Demand		MI/d	539.3	9.793	584.1	618.8	670.7	689.2	706.1
		Peaking Factor	%	20%	20%	20%	20%	20%	20%	20%
Leak ————————————————————————————————————	Peak Demand	Peaking Allowance	MI/d	72.2	73.8	77.6	9.08	88.1	91.8	95.2
Aver	Average Day - Peak Week Demand (ADPW)	PW)	P/IW	611.5	641.4	661.8	699.4	758.9	781.0	801.3
:		Headroom & Outage Factor	%	17.5%	17.5%	17.5%	15.0%	15.0%	15.0%	15.0%
Allow	Allowance for Kisk and Uncertainty	Headroom & Outage Allowance	MI/d	63.2	64.5	67.9	60.4	66.1	68.9	71.4
Prod	Production Requirement		MI/d	674.7	6.507	729.7	759.8	825.0	849.9	872.7

Table 10-C Total Demand Projections for the Water Supply Area (2011 – 2050) – Planning Scenario 2

35

## CER in relation to the First Fix scheme Extract from the orlothis submission to the

By Q3 2016, the The table below provides a breakdown of the forecasted expenditure on the First Fix Leak Repair scheme in the period to the end of 2016. As outlined above, these figures are based on a limited urban sample and will be subject to ongoing review by IW. These indicative figures are cumulative and broken down on a quarterly basis:

scheme was expected

Table 1: First Fix Leak Repair scheme: Estimated total expenditure

iable I. I ist I'v Lean Nepail sellelle. Estillated total expellation	iepan seneme.	Lstilliated tota						TO PRIVE COST
	Q2 2015	Q3 2015	Q4 2015	Q1 2016	Q2 2016	Q2 2016 Q3 2016 Q4 2016	Q4 2016	614 540 210
Leak investigations	€ 2,227,500	€ 2,227,500 € 4,792,500	Ψ	7,155,000 € 9,666,000 € 11,934,000 € 13,095,000 € 13,860,000	€ 11,934,000	€ 13,095,000	€ 13,860,000	E +6, 2+0, 210:
Leak repairs	€ 3,156,090	€ 7,319,010	Ψ	€ 11,875,170	€ 20,906,130	€ 24,546,990	€ 26,255,550	To fact it ask just
Additional costs -	€ 2,077,675	€ 2,077,675 € 3,738,410	Ψ	4,980,448 € 6,304,668 € 7,642,585 € 8,898,320 € 9,985,812	€ 7,642,585	€ 8,898,320	€ 9,985,812	THE MAN TO SEE STATE OF THE SEE
scheme inspection &	5	2						C40 567 817
administration, VAT etc.								
Cumulative Expenditure € 7,461,265 € 15,849,920	€ 7,461,265	€ 15,849,920	€ 24,010,618	€ 24,010,618 € 32,605,398 € 40,482,715 ( € 46,540,310 ) € 50,101,362	€ 40,482,715 (	€ 46,540,310	€ 50,101,362	
						)		

# 5.2. Estimated First Fix Leak Repair scheme Deliverables

It was expected that the scheme would be have identified 74,750 mayor leaks limited sampling from the Pilot Scheme it is estimated that approximately 23,000 repairs will be completed under the 'repair' phase of the First Fix Leak Under the First Fix Leak Repair scheme it is estimated that approximately 77,000 Constant Flow Notification letters will be issued to Customers in the period to the end of 2016. It is expected that this will result in the completion of over 55,000 leak investigations. Whilst it is only possible to estimate the proportion of cases that will be found to have leakage on the External Supply Pipe (which will convert to returned leak repair offers), based on the Repair scheme.

Table 2: First Fix Leak Repair Scheme: Estimated quarterly deliverables (Cumulative)

(across the stack) by @3 2016: in fact,

						(10)	( 2 ( 2 ) 2 ) ( ( ( ( ( ( ( ( ( ( ( ( (	PL 70 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11
	Q2 2015	Q3 2015	Q4 2015	Q1 2016	Q2 2016	Q3 2016	Q4 2016	IL ICHAMEN ICC, I
Customer Notifications	16,500	30,000	43,500	57,000	69,250	(74,750)	77,000	
Leak Investigations Completed	8,910	19,170	28,620	38,664	47,736	52,380	55,440	
First Fix Repairs Completed	2,793	6,477	10,509	14,721	18,501	(21,723)	23,235	North Task Worder

Mouda hour carried out 6,666 ocross
In fact, it had only carried out 6,666 ocross the entire state, yet the scheme had recovered three times as much water as had been anticipand (see hollowing page) Extract from the 01104/15 Submission to the CER restirst fix 2

The scheme aimed to recover 37 Mid nationally by the end of 2016: in fact, it recovered over 95 Mid

### 5.3. Anticipated Benefits

Based on Meter consumption data, IW estimates average household consumption at 300 litres per day. Based on the current Meter reading data the average consumption at properties with a Constant Flow Alarm is 1,900 litres per day, over six times the normal consumption for a typical household. Allowing for actual customer consumption, it should be possible to achieve water savings of 37,000,000 litres per day on a national basis based on the target number of repairs to be carried out in the period to the end of 2016. This saving equates to the daily water demand of 123,000 households. However, using the proposed prioritised approach to implementing the First Fix Leak Repair scheme, this volume of water savings could be exceeded, assuming good levels of Customer engagement.

The Pilot Scheme indicated that a high number of Customer side leaks originate within or under the Dwelling 13. Although the repair of leaks within Dwellings is outside the proposed scope of the First Fix Leak Repair scheme, the occurrence of such leaks will be highlighted to Customers through the First Fix Leak Repair scheme process. There is potential for significant additional water savings should Customers (acting on the information provided to them by IW) seeks to address these leaks and arrange repairs.

### 5.4. Reporting

IW's work and asset management system will be used to record all works issued and completed under the First Fix Leak Repair scheme. IW contractors will report to IW on the number of investigations that result in repairs as well as the reasons for repairs not being carried out. This will ensure that detailed data exists for KPI reporting. This will support reporting on the number of notifications issued, responses received and investigations and repairs completed by region and local authority area as well as the number of repairs that could not be carried out. In addition a detailed breakdown of the overall First Fix Leak Repair scheme expenditure and water savings achieved will be reported on a quarterly basis to the CER.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>12</sup> Based on CSO household occupancy data.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>13</sup> 63% of customer side leaks identified during the Pilot Scheme were found to have originated inside or under the Dwelling.

Note: our analysis of these results adopts Insh Water's guidance that, of the data reported for the "East UISCE and Midlands" region, it can be WATER assumed that 80% relates to the Dublin Water Supply Area

Period

Period

Region

Leak Repairs Created

We reference the Q3 2016 data because that is the last quarter for which savings from customer repairs have been hely factored in

Q4 2016

Q4 2016

4,345

4.408

Q1 2017

4.477

Table 2: Overall Project Summary (last four quarters shown)

customers will be notified in Q3 2017.

Q2 2016 Q1 2017 Total 60,545 Alarms Detected 52,723 Q1 2017 Q4 2016 Period 02 2016 Q3 2016 2016-09 2016-10 2016-12 2017-01 2017-02 2017-03 2016-01 2016-02 2016-03 2016-07 2016-08 2016-11 Region Number of Customer 43,174 43,174 43,174 East and Midlands 35,728 38,735 39,479 40,908 42,816 43,138 43,143 43,172 43,174 Notifications Issued 43,138 x North and West 13,100 14,847 15,390 16,098 16,927 17,104 17,107 17,117 17,117 17,117 17,117 17,117 (Cumulative) 34,272 39,991 40,237 40.252 40.267 40.268 40.268 40.268 40.268 29,925 36,073 37,911 100,479 100,502 100,556 100,559 100,559 100,559 100,559 78,753 87,854 90,942 99,734 A total of 100.559 constant flow advice letters issued to the end of Q4 2016. No constant flow advice letters were issued in Q1 2017. These

0.8 = 34,510 "major" leaks had been identified in the water Supply Area by Q32016

2016-08 2016-09 2016-10 2016-11 2016-12 2017-01 2017-02 2017-03 2016-01 2016-02 2016-03 2016-07 Customer Responses requesting 18,594 18,630 18,639 18,652 East and Midlands 15,076 15,840 16,559 17,004 17,590 18,076 18,292 18,495 a Free Leak Investigation North and West 4,856 5,241 5,705 5,987 6,433 6,493 6,560 6.598 6,603 6,603 6,604 (Cumulative) 15,693 11,781 12,553 13,500 14,157 15,673 15,692 Southern 40,922 40,934 40,949 31,713 33,634 35,764 37,148 38,656 39,780 40,217 40,642 40,865 40 949 customers contacted Irish Water to request a free leak investigation. A total of 42,772 leak investigations have been raised to the end of Q1 2017 (second leak investigation where a customer installs an ISV after advice from first leak investigation survey). O3 2016 Q4 2016 Q1 2017

Q2 2016 2016-01 2016-02 2016-03 2016-07 2016-08 2016-09 2016-10 2016-11 2016-12 2017-01 2017-02 2017-03 egion 18,580 18,808 Leak Investigations Completed East and Midlands 13,778 14.989 15,957 16,450 17,030 17,360 17,799 18,335 18,795 (Cumulative) North and West 4,638 5,092 5,565 6,352 6,551 6,669 6,781 6,854 6,938 6,939 13,091 16,482 11,063 12,060 14,116 14,985 15,600 16,000 16,286 16,444 16,602 16,603 Southern 29,479 32,141 34,613 36,528 38,367 39,511 40,468 41,402 42,103 42,335 42,350 **Grand Total** 

Q3 2016

Q3 2016

2016-01 2016-02 2016-03 2016-07 2016-08 2016-09 2016-10 2016-11 2016-12 2017-01 2017-02 2017-03

4.237

Q2 2016

Q2 2016

East and Midlands 3,523 3,913 North and West 871 1,086 1,230 1,262 1,016 1,262 2,286 2.465 3.157 3.218 3.275 3.326 6,680 7,135 7,586 7,938 8,656 8,850 8,965 9,035 9,065 9,080 8,502 Of the 42,350 completed leak investigations carried out at the end of Q1 2017, 9,080 were found to be on the external supply pipe. Q2 2016 2016-01 2016-03 2016-07 2016-09 2016-10 2016-02 2016-08 2016-11 2016-12 2017-01 2017-02 Leak Repairs Completed East and Midlands 2,633 2,906 3,120 3,278 3,518 3,616 3,709 3,770 3,938 3,961 3,972 (Cumulative) 505 588 734 North and West 695 765 810 911 940 1,010 1,017 1,017 By Irish Water 2,338 1,619 1,752 1,921 2,081 2,165 2,539 2.640 2.734 2.871 2,872 2,875 irand Total 4,757 5,246 6,093 5,666 7,019 7,819 In respect of the 9,080 qualifying leaks, 7,864 free leak repairs out. For the remaining 1,216 leaks, 764 no longer qualify for repair

e.g repaired or found to be non-qualifying after further detailed investig

of the 34,510 major reaks identified, I nish water had only repaired 2,814 of them (3,518 x 0.8 = 2,814). So Irish water had only repaired 8% of the major teaks that the first fix scheme had identified

- As at a3 2016 the total water savings were 34 MId (16.4 MId from Insh Water repours plus 17.3 MId from customer repours) WATER - The latest known savings (which do not yet take account of austone repairs in the prior two quarters) are: 38 MId (18-8 MId from Irish Water repairs plus 18:9 MId from custome repairs)

Table 2: Overall Project Summary (continued)

		Period		Q2 2016			Q3 2016			Q4 2016		Q1 2017			
		Region	2016-01	2016-02	2016-03	2016-07	2016-08	2016-09	2016-10	2016-11	2016-12	2017-01	2017-02	2017-03	
	Estimated Water Savings from	East and Midlands	16.65	18.03	18.84	19.68	20.19	20.55	21.38	21.93	22.45	23.24	23.46	23.50	
6	First Fix Repairs	North and West	3.76	4.15	4.57	4.83	5.11	5.31	5.64	5.89	6.12	6.52	6.56	6.56	
	(ML/day - Cumulative)		11.16	11.98	12.64	13.50	13.98	14.96	15.86	16.50	17.13	17.83	17.83	17.90	
		Southern		34.16	36.06	38.01	39.27	40.81	42.89	44.31	45.70	47.59	47.85	47.95	
		Grand Total  A cumulative estim	31.57	34.16	nillion litre									m a	
		comparison of met													
							Q3 2016			Q4 2016			Q1 2017		
		Period		Q2 2016	l age m	2016-07	2016-08	2016-09	2016-10	2016-11	2016-12	2017-01	2017-02	2017-03	
/		Region	2016-01		2016-03			13,336	13.864	14.184	14,456	14.586	14,638	14,653	
7	Customer Repairs Completed	East and Midlands	8,846	9,784	10,681	11,555	12,377	. ~				4,750	4,765	4,776	
	(Cumulative)	North and West	2,496	2,882	3,175	3,511	3,904	4,258	4,456	4,580	4,690			12.321	
		Southern	6,648	7,618	8,371	9,170	10,150	11,105	11,598	11,897	12,139	12,278	12,310		
		Grand Total	17,990	20,284	22,227	24,236	26,431	28,699	29,918	30,661	31,285	31,614	31,713	31,75	
		Customer repairs a	re those i	repairs arra	anged by th	ne custome	r for leaks o	ccuring wi	thin their p	property.	The custon	ner repair i	s counted	only	
		when two confirme	ed meter	readings a	re collecte	d after the	repair. 31,7	50 of these	e repairs w	ere under	taken by c	ustomers t	ollowing a	FIRST FIX	
		notification.				I	Q3 2016			Q4 2016		Г	Q1 2017		
	1	Period		Q2 2016				2016-09	2016-10	2016-11	2016-12	2017-01	2017-02	2017-03	
		Region	2016-01		2016-03	2016-07	2016-08	1 1					23.47	23.5	
8	Estimated Savings from	East and Midlands	16.29	17.29	18.26	19.38	20.38	21.66	22.26	22.86	23.24	23.38		-	
	Customer Repairs (Cumulative)	North and West	4.35	4.74	5.12	5.57	6.17	6.67	7.06	7.17	7.36	7.43	7.43	7.50	
		Southern	11.83	12.82	13.70	14.75	15.95	17.04	17.79	18.22	18.74	18.94	18.95	18.9	
		Grand Total	32.47	34.85	37.08	39.70	42.50	45.37	47.12	48.25	49.34	49.74	49.85	49.9	
		An estimated 49.99	n estimated 49.99 million litres of water per day has been saved from customer repairs. Savings are calculated from a comparison of meter												
	1	data collected prio	ollected prior to and after the repair work being undertaken. The completion of a repair is indicated when the leak alarm is no longer												
				orting drop in water usage. The estimated savings from customer repairs are confirmed once two mete repair.									readings a	re	
		collected after the													
	1	Period	-	Q2 2016	T	-	Q3 2016	T		Q4 2016	0045 40	2017.01	1	2017-03	
		Region	2016-01	-	2016-03	2016-07	2016-08	2016-09	2016-10	2016-11	2016-12	2017-01	2017-02	100	
9	Known Properties Without an Operational ISV (Cumulative)	East and Midlands	2,732	2,857	3,008	3,129	3,217	3,270	3,334	3,426	3,468	3,477	3,484	3,48	
		North and West	1,066	1,143	1,270	1,368	1,455	1,487	1,513	1,526	1,544	1,551	1,553	1,55	
		Southern	3,232	3,403	3,628	3,849	4,024	4,148	4,219	4,280	4,315	4,319	4,321	4,32	
		Grand Total	7,030	7,403	7,906	8,346	8,696	8,905	9,066	9,232	9,327	9,347	9,358	9,35	
		A total of 9,358 pro	perties d	id not have	e an operat	tional Inter	nal Stop Val	ve (ISV) ar	nd the leak	investiga	nvestigation could not be progressed. In many				
		cases the ISV was ;	resent b	ut not ope	rational.							,			
	Number of Non-Qualifying			-5-5-6-0-6								01 2017			
10	Properties Served Through a	Total		Q2 2016		-	Q3 2016		Q4 2016			Q1 2017			
	Shared or Backyard Pipe				560	1		634			666			67	
-	(Cumulative)	672 properties we	re identif	ied as not o	gualifying	for the sch	eme as thev	are served	through a	shared or	backyard	pipe and c	annot have	their	
		supply isolated.	- rountil	45 1151 (	,,						,				
		East and Midlands	Dublin C	City, Count	y Dublin, K	ildare, Lao	is, Longford,	Louth, Me	ath, Offah	, Westme	ath, Wickl	ow			
			st and Midlands   Dublin City, County Dublin, Kildare, Laois, Longford, Louth, Meath, Offaly, Westmeath, Wicklow												
11	Counties in Each Region	North and West	Cavan, D	Donegal, G	alway, Leit	rim, Mayo,	Monaghan,	Roscomm	on, Sligo						

Note: Meter read data is used to confirm that a customer repair has been carried out. Number of customer repairs and estimated savings will be included in the report once two confirmed meter readings are collected after the repair date. As such, the number of customer repairs noted above for each month is expected to increase in the next report as more confirmed readings are collected.

13,336 x 0.8 =10,668

Of the 34,510 major leaks identified in the water Supply Area, customers had repaired 10,668 of them (despite there being no incentive within the ament terms of the scheme for them to do so). So customers had repaired 31% of the major leaks that the first fix scheme had identified



### 5.2.4 Adopting challenging Water Conservation targets for WSP

### 5.2.4.1 Linked Strategies

Water Conservation is a vital part of the overall strategy to provide safe and secure water supplies in the Eastern & Midlands Region. This has been Irish Water's position from the outset in the Project Need Report (February 2015) and it is reiterated in the appended Interim Midlands and GDA Water Resource Plan.

Public consultation over the past 18 months, has repeatedly emphasised the importance of fixing leaks and of water conservation overall. Demand projections are based on achieving ambitious targets in these areas.

### 5.2.4.2 Two basic approaches

There are two kinds of conservation strategy being examined in the Water Conservation Strategy Project being implemented by Irish Water, these are work-in-progress at this time and are outlined below. The Sustainable Economic Level of Leakage, or 'SELL', is the level at which the combined cost of water and the cost of leakage management, are minimised. The Water Conservation Strategy being considered by Irish Water includes both a SELL Base Case and a Leakage Targets Policy.

The **SELL Base Case** approach would seek to recover 39 Mld in the six year period to 2021, and then prevent leakage from rising thereafter. It assumes that the Water Supply Project comes on stream at 2025.

The **Leakage Targets Policy**, following the leakage targets set out in the Project Need Report, would recover a greater 63.9 Mld substantively by 2031.

### 5.2.5 An update on the UFW level

The latest estimate of network UFW in the Dublin Water Supply Area by Irish Water, excluding Customer Side Leakage (CSL) exceeds the published position in the WSP Project Need Report 18 months ago, but the progress made with customer side leakage is better than expected. When the PNR estimate is now adjusted for recent, better 'per connection' metered data, and in one other area around industrial consumption, a UFW in the network of 204.7 Mld emerges.

In February 2015, UFW in the Dublin Water Supply Area was estimated in the PNR at 178.1 MId, but CSL was separately estimated at 40.8 MId, giving a total of 218.9 MId.

The declared ambition in the PNR of March 2015 was to save 48 Mld off the UFW by 2041, and a further 15.3 Mld was to be cut from CSL, giving a total UFW+CSL saving of 63.4 Mld.

### 5.2.6 Customer Side Leakage

Irish Water has vigorously tackled the problem of Customer Side Leakage through its *Free First Fix* Scheme (<a href="http://www.water.ie/water-supply/first-fix/">http://www.water.ie/water-supply/first-fix/</a>) and has reported excellent returns on the Scheme to date.

At March 2016, the report to the CER on the Free First Fix Scheme identified 48.5 MId of savings to date, nationally, 26 MId of which was achieved in Irish Water's East & Midlands Regions. If we assume that 80% of this was in the Dublin Water Supply Area, then almost 20.7 MId of growing demand has already been offset by this scheme, and value for money is clear from the report to the CER. This would mean that the CSL recovery projected for 2031 in the PNR has already been achieved.

The future returns from the scheme will diminish since the larger leaks are prioritised and are resolved earliest, but Irish Water will continue to work with customers to help them to conserve water.

